arXiv:1602.01946v1 [math.AP] 5 Feb 2016

Instantaneous blow-up versus global well-posedness and asymptotic behavior for linear pseudoparabolic equations

Sujin Khomrutai[a,*]

[a]*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok 10330, Thailand*

Abstract

We study linear pseudoparabolic equations with unbounded and time-dependent coefficients. We solve the case which has remained open in several recent studies of pseudoparabolic equations with unbounded and time-dependent coefficients. In this work we get a sharp condition for the existence or non-existence of solutions. Conditions on the initial function and coefficient are provided so that every nontrivial positive solution blows up instantaneously. When the coefficient and the initial function do not grow too rapidly, we establish the existence and uniqueness of global solutions, for both time-independent and time-dependent potentials. This is done via the analysis of the Bessel convolution multiplication operators. Asymptotic behavior and comparison principles are also established. The global well-posedness results can be extended to the equation with convection.

Keywords: Linear pseudoparabolic equation, Unbounded and non-autonomous, Instantaneous blow-up, Convolution multiplication operator, Global well-posedness, Comparison principle and asymptotic
2010 MSC: 35B44, 35B51, 35A01, 35A02, 35K70

1. Introduction

We study the linear pseudoparabolic Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \triangle \partial_t u = \triangle u + a(x,t)u & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

and look for nontrivial solutions $u = u(x,t) \not\equiv 0$. In this work, the coefficient of the lower-order term (or the *potential*) is allowed to be time-dependent and unbounded. Our existence results can be extended to the more general pseudoparabolic equation with a convection term:

$$\partial_t u - \triangle \partial_t u = \triangle u + \mathbf{b}(x,t) \cdot \nabla u + a(x,t)u, \tag{1.2}$$

where the coefficient vector $\mathbf{b}$ can be unbounded and time-dependent as well. The key idea employed to study (1.2) has already appeared in the investigation of (1.1).

The left hand side of (1.1) has been arisen in various important contexts such as the viscous diffusion equation [20], the viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation [11], or pseudoparabolic regularization of the heat equation [5] etc. These are nonlinear or even higher order and degenerate equations describing various phenomena. The knowledge of the simpler looking equation (1.1) supplemented with those of the semilinear equations (see [14], [15]) should enable us to gain better understanding of such nonlinear phenomena, especially for unbounded and time-dependent coefficient equations.

*Corresponding author.
Email address: sujin.k@chula.ac.th (Sujin Khomrutai)

Eq. (1.1) and (1.2) are closely related to the linear (convective) heat equation

$$\partial_t u = \triangle u + \mathbf{b}(x,t)\cdot\nabla u + a(x,t)u$$

or more generally the parabolic equation

$$\partial_t u = \mathcal{L}_{x,t}u + a(x,t)u, \quad \mathcal{L}_{x,t} = \sum a^{ij}(x,t)\partial_{ij} + \mathbf{b}(x,t)\cdot\nabla.$$

There has been a great number of studies for the linear heat and the parabolic equations with unbounded or singular and time-dependent coefficients, see for example, [2], [3], [6], [7], [10], [12], [16], [18]. Various aspects such as the existence or non-existence of solutions, uniqueness classes, fundamental solutions, comparison principles, regularity of solutions are discussed. The instantaneous blow-up phenomenon is also observed in [7] when the equation has a singular lower-order coefficient. See also [17, 21] for applications of the celebrated test function method in the investigation of the same phenomena under various circumstances. It should be noted that the test function method however cannot be applied to the equations in this work. For linear pseudoparabolic equations, however, very few results have been known. This may be explianed from the complicated Green function. To the best of the author's knowledge, [8] is the only study in this direction, where some existence results are established based upon parabolic equation technique from [3]. For quite complete studies of the semilinear pseudoparabolic equation, see [14], [15]. See also [1] for a discussion of several important phenomena described by pseudoparabolic or more generally Sobolev equations.

In this work, the problem (1.1) will be analysed as a non-local evolution equation

$$\partial_t \mu = \mathcal{B}(V(\cdot,t)\mu) \quad (t>0), \quad \mu|_{t=0} = u_0, \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\mu := e^t u \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} := (1-\triangle)^{-1} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{(1+|\xi|^2)^{-1}\right\}, \quad V := a(x,t)+1. \tag{1.5}$$

When the problem is autonomous, i.e. V is independent of time, then the question of existence or non-existence and the asymptotic behavior for solutions of (1.3) will be reduced to the exploration of the (pointwise) limit of the series

$$e^{t\dot{\mathcal{B}}V}u_0 := \sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\frac{t^N}{N!}\dot{\mathcal{B}}V^N u_0, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\dot{\mathcal{B}}V$, called the Bessel *convolution multiplication operator*, and the iterations $\dot{\mathcal{B}}V^N$ are defined by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\mathcal{B}}V\varphi := \mathcal{B}(V\varphi) = \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(x-y)V(y,t)\varphi(y)dy, \\ \dot{\mathcal{B}}V^N\varphi := \dot{\mathcal{B}}V^{N-1}(\dot{\mathcal{B}}V\varphi) \quad (N\geq 2). \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

The main difficulty in studying (1.6) comes from the unboundedness of the potential, which implies that $\dot{\mathcal{B}}V^N$ are unbounded operators on any weighted Lebesgue spaces $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n,\langle\cdot\rangle^s)$ $(1\leq q\leq\infty, s\in\mathbb{R})$. This is in contrast to the study of semilinear pseudoparabolic equation

$$\partial_t u - \triangle\partial_t u = \triangle u + a(x,t)u^p, \tag{1.8}$$

where it was shown in [14, 15] that certain powers s can always be adopted so that the following key estimate for the source term holds:

$$\|\langle\cdot\rangle^s\dot{\mathcal{B}}V\varphi^p\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|\langle\cdot\rangle^s\varphi\|_{L^q}^p \quad \text{when } p\neq 1.$$

Then, standard contraction mapping principle techniques for parabolic equation (see for instance, [13]) can be applied to establish the existence of solutions for the semilinear equations within weighted Lebesgue spaces. More importantly, it was observed in [14, 15] that, asymptotically, every nontrivial positive solution u to Eq. (1.8) satisfies

$$u(x,t)\begin{cases}\lesssim |x|^{-\alpha} & \text{for some } \alpha \geq \frac{\sigma_+}{p-1}, \text{ if } p>1,\\ \gtrsim |x|^{\frac{\sigma_+}{1-p}} & \text{if } 0<p<1,\end{cases}$$

for all $t>0$. Thus, the solutions in the sublinear case $u\to\infty$ as $p\to 1^-$ whereas, in the superlinear case $u\to 0$ as $p\to 1^+$. Changing the weight to other weight functions, such as, the exponential functions, does not seem to solve the difficulty in the case $p=1$. In this work, the difficulty in the case $p=1$ is tackled by directly studying the pointwise convergence of the series (1.6). A part of this work is inspired by the general parametrix construction technique in [9], see also [12].

For non-autonomous equation, i.e. time-dependent potentials, we have to analyze the linear operators

$$\begin{cases}\widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)\varphi := \displaystyle\int_0^t \mathcal{B}(V(\cdot,\tau)\varphi)\,d\tau = \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(x-y)V(y,\tau)\varphi(y)\,dyd\tau,\\ \widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)^N\varphi := \widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)^{N-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)\varphi)\quad (N\geq 2).\end{cases} \tag{1.9}$$

The existence of solutions in this case is reduced to the convergence of the series

$$e^{\int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}}V(\tau)\,d\tau}u_0 := \sum_{N=0}^{\infty}\widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)^N u_0. \tag{1.10}$$

Assuming the locally boundedness in time for the potential, one can establish the convergence using the results in the study of (1.6).

In the study of instantaneous blow-up, or complete blow-up, we use the pointwise estimate involving the Bessel potential operator $\mathcal{B}$ developed in [15]. It will be revealed that the existence and uniqueness class for (1.1) is

$$\mathcal{E} = \left\{\varphi\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\varrho|x|}|\varphi(x)|\,dx<\infty,\ \text{for some } \varrho\in[0,1)\right\}.$$

The potential also affects the blow-up behavior. We will show that if the potential has a sufficiently large spacial growth rate or a sufficiently large total change in time, then instantaneous blow-up occurs. A precise condition on the initial function and the potential will be given.

Now let us explain the main results of this work. We present notation and some elementary results in Section 2. Then in Section 3, we investigate some non-existence results both instantaneous blowing up and non-existence of global solutions. We also give the lower bound for the assymptotic behavior. Throughout this section, we assume that the initial function satisfies

$$\liminf_{|x|\to\infty}\frac{\log u_0}{|x|^\alpha}\geq\delta$$

for some $\alpha,\delta\in\mathbb{R}$ and the potential satisfies

$$a(x,t)\geq\lambda(t)|x|^\sigma\quad\text{for all } |x|\geq R_0, t>0, \tag{H1}$$

where $\sigma\in\mathbb{R}$ and $R_0>0$ ($R_0\geq 0$ if $\sigma\geq 0$) are constants, and $\lambda=\lambda(t)>0$ is a locally bounded function. Conditions on u_0,σ, and $\lambda(t)$ are given so that every nontrivial nonnegative solution of (1.1) blows up instantaneously. In the case $\sigma=1$, we also prove the nonexistence of global solutions. We study the Bessel convolution multiplication operators in Section 4. The convergence of (1.6) is proved and we introduce

the Green operator. For this section, we consider arbitrary function $V = V(x,t)$ (not necessarily positive) satisfying

$$|V(x,t)| \le \Lambda(t)|x|^\sigma + 1 \tag{1.11}$$

where $\Lambda \in B_{loc}([0,T))$ and $0 < T \le \infty$, i.e. $\sup_{[0,\tau_0]} \Lambda < \infty$ for all $\tau_0 < T$. Here, we have obtained the idea of using Lemma 6 and considering infinite products from [9]. In Section 5 we establish the existence and uniqueness of global solutions assuming that the potential satisfies

$$|a(x,t)| \le \Lambda(t)|x|^\sigma \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,\infty). \tag{H2}$$

We split the case of time-independent and time-dependent potential. Comparison principles are also proved for nonnegative potentials. An extension of the existence and uniqueness results to (1.2) is given in the Appendix. The equation is considered in a Volterra integral type equation and we assume that $\mathbf{b}$ is C^1 and both $|\mathbf{b}|$, $|\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}|$ satisfy the same pointwise condition as the potential.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Notation and definitions

Notation.

(i) $Q_T = \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T)$, $0 < T \le \infty$.

(ii) $\mathcal{B} = (1-\triangle)^{-1}$ is the Bessel potential operator with kernel function B.

(iii) $\dot{\mathcal{B}}V\varphi := \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(x-y)V(y)\varphi(y)dy$, where $V = V(x)$.

(iv) $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)\varphi := \displaystyle\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(x-y)V(y,\tau)\varphi(y)dy\,d\tau$, where $V = V(x,t)$.

(iv) For $l \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote

$$\begin{cases} \liminf\limits_{|x|\to\infty} g(x) \ge l^\uparrow & \Leftrightarrow \quad g(x) \ge l \text{ on some } \{|x| \ge R\}, \\ \limsup\limits_{|x|\to\infty} g(x) \le l^\downarrow & \Leftrightarrow \quad g(x) \le l \text{ on some } \{|x| \ge R\}, \end{cases}$$

where $R > 0$.

(v) If $u = u(x,t)$ we denote $u(t) := u(\cdot,t)$.

(vi) $\Phi_\varrho g := e^{-\varrho|\cdot|} * g = \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\varrho|x-y|} g(y)dy$

The solutions of (1.1) will be searched within the following space of functions

$$\mathcal{E} = \bigcup_{\varrho\in[0,1)} \mathcal{E}_\varrho, \tag{2.1}$$

where, for each $\varrho \in [0,1)$,

$$\mathcal{E}_\varrho := \left\{ g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\varrho|x-y|}|g(y)|dy < \infty \right\} = L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, e^{-\varrho|\cdot|}). \tag{2.2}$$

Definition 1. A solution of (1.1) is $u \in C([0,T);\mathcal{E})$, for some $0 < T \le \infty$, such that $\mu := e^t u$ satisfies

$$\mu(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t \mathcal{B}(V(\cdot,\tau)\mu(\tau))d\tau \quad \text{on } Q_T. \tag{2.3}$$

Here $\mathcal{B}$ is the *Bessel potential operator* where the kernel function B is defined through K_ν, the modified Bessel function of the second kind, by

$$B(x) = |x|^{1-\frac{n}{2}} K_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(|x|).$$

2.2. Some basic estimates

Lemma 1. *Let $x, y \geq 0$.*

(i) If $\alpha \in [0,1]$ then $(x+y)^\alpha \leq x^\alpha + y^\alpha$.

(ii) If $k \in [1,\infty)$ then $(x+y)^k \leq 2^k(x^k + y^k)$.

Proof. (i) can be proved by elementary calculus and (ii) is true by the fact that $x+y \leq 2\max\{x,y\}$. □

We next present the two-sided estimates for B.

Lemma 2 ([14],[15],[19]). *The Bessel potential kernel satisfies the following estimates*

$$\underline{b}(x)e^{-|x|} \lesssim B(x) \lesssim \overline{b}(x)e^{-|x|} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\underline{b}(x) := \begin{cases} |x|^{\frac{1-n}{2}} & \text{if } n \neq 2 \text{ or } |x| \geq 1, \\ 1 - \ln|x| & \text{if } n = 2 \text{ and } |x| < 1, \end{cases} \qquad \overline{b}(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{\frac{1-n}{2}} & |x| \geq 1, \\ 1 - \ln|x| & |x| < 1 \text{ and } n = 2, \\ |x|^{2-n} & |x| < 1 \text{ and } n \geq 3, \\ 1 & |x| < 1 \text{ and } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

In the study of nonexistence results, the following two estimates involving the Bessel potential operator will be used often.

Lemma 3. *Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha \in [0,1]$, and $\gamma \in (0,1)$. There is $d_0 = d_0(n,\delta,\alpha,\gamma) > 0$ such that if $d \geq d_0$ then*

$$\mathcal{B}\left(|\cdot|^d e^{\delta|\cdot|^\alpha}\right) \geq \gamma |x|^d e^{\delta|x|^\alpha}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. We denote $F = r^d e^{\delta r^\alpha}$, where $r = |x|$, and $\kappa := \gamma^{-1} - 1 > 0$. We show that $\kappa F + \triangle F \geq 0$ provided d is large enough. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle F &= e^{\delta r^\alpha} \triangle r^d + 2(r^d)'(e^{\delta r^\alpha})' + r^d \triangle e^{\delta r^\alpha}, \\ &= \left[(r^d)'' + \frac{n-1}{r}(r^d)'\right] e^{\delta r^\alpha} + 2d\delta\alpha r^{d+\alpha-2} e^{\delta r^\alpha} + \left[(e^{\delta r^\alpha})'' + \frac{n-1}{r}(e^{\delta r^\alpha})'\right] r^d, \\ &= \left(\delta^2\alpha^2 r^{2\alpha} + \delta\alpha(2d+\alpha+n-2)r^\alpha + d(d+n-2)\right) r^{d-2} e^{\delta r^\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

If $\delta \geq 0$ or $\alpha = 0$ then

$$\kappa F + \triangle F \geq 0 \quad \text{provided } d + n - 2 \geq 0.$$

For $r < 1$, $\kappa F + \triangle F \geq 0$ by taking d sufficiently large.

Assume $\delta < 0$, $\alpha > 0$, and $r \geq 1$. Since $\alpha \leq 1$, we get from (2.6) that

$$\kappa F + \triangle F \geq Q(r^\alpha) r^{d-2} e^{\delta r^\alpha},$$

where

$$Q(X) = (\kappa + \delta^2\alpha^2)X^2 + \delta\alpha(2d+\alpha+n-2)X + d(d+n-2).$$

The discriminant of Q is

$$\Delta = 4d^2\delta^2\alpha^2 \left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha+n-2}{2d}\right)^2 - \left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{\delta^2\alpha^2}\right)\left(1 + \frac{n-2}{d}\right)\right].$$

Since $\Delta < 0$ as $d \to \infty$, we obtain by taking d sufficiently large that

$$\kappa F + \triangle F \geq 0 \quad (\text{on } \mathbb{R}^n).$$

This implies

$$\gamma^{-1} F \geq F - \triangle F,$$

hence by taking $\mathcal{B}$ we conclude that $\mathcal{B}F \geq \gamma F$. This implies what we want. □

We also need the following lemma where the proof is almost the same as [15].

Lemma 4. *Let $d \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in [0,1]$. Assume $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ if $\alpha < 1$ and $\delta \in (-\infty, 1)$ if $\alpha = 1$. There is a constant $\eta_0 = \eta_0(n, \delta, \alpha, R) > 0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{B}\left(|\cdot|^d e^{\delta|\cdot|^\alpha} \cdot 1_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R}\right) \geq \eta_0 2^{-d} |x|^d e^{\delta|x|^\alpha}. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. By Lemma 1 (i) we have $|x|^\alpha - |y|^\alpha \leq |x-y|^\alpha \leq |x|^\alpha + |y|^\alpha$ which implies $\delta|x-y|^\alpha \leq \delta|x|^\alpha + |\delta||y|^\alpha$. Then by applying the lower estimate in Lemma 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}\left(|\cdot|^d e^{\delta|\cdot|^\alpha} \cdot 1_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R}\right) &= \int_{|x-y|\geq R} B(y)|x-y|^d e^{\delta|x-y|^\alpha} dy, \\
&\geq \int_{|x-y|\geq R} \underline{b}(y) e^{-|y|} |x-y|^d e^{\delta|x|^\alpha - |\delta||y|^\alpha} dy, \\
&= e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} \int_{|x-y|\geq R} \underline{b}(y) e^{-(|y| + |\delta||y|^\alpha)} |x-y|^d dy =: e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} K.
\end{aligned}$$

We can assume $R \geq 1$. If $|x| \leq 2R$ then for $|y| \geq 4R$ we get $|x-y| \geq \max\{2R, |x|\}$, hence

$$K \geq \omega_n |x|^d \int_{4R}^\infty \underline{b}(r) e^{-(r + |\delta| r^\alpha)} r^{n-1} dr =: \eta_1 |x|^d.$$

On the other hand, if $|x| \geq 2R$ then for $|y| \leq R$ we get $|x-y| \geq |x|/2 \geq R$, hence

$$K \geq \omega_n 2^{-d} |x|^d \int_0^R \underline{b}(r) e^{-(r + |\delta| r^\alpha)} r^{n-1} dr =: \eta_2 2^{-d} |x|^d.$$

Let $\eta_0 = \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 > 0$. Then we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}\left(|\cdot|^d e^{\delta|\cdot|^\alpha} \cdot 1_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R}\right) \geq \eta_0 2^{-d} |x|^d e^{\delta|x|^\alpha},$$

which is the desired estimate. □

3. Nonexistence of positive solutions

In this section we assume the solutions u and initial condition u_0 of (1.1) are nonnegative functions. We start with the following fact for the nontrivial nonnegative solutions. It is true owing to the nonlocal nature of the equation and that the Bessel potential kernel is decaying like $e^{-|x|}$, see (2.4).

Lemma 5 ([15], Lemma 8). *Let $0 \lneq u \in C(Q_T)$ be a solution of (1.1) in Q_T. Assume $\tau_0 \in (0, T)$ and $\delta > 1$. Then*

$$u(\tau_0) \gtrsim e^{-\delta|x|} \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty, \quad \text{or, equivalently,} \quad \liminf_{|x|\to\infty} \frac{\log u(\tau_0)}{|x|} \geq -\delta^\uparrow. \tag{3.1}$$

Remark 1. By (3.1) and the semigroup property for (1.1), we will assume without loss of generality that

$$u_0 > 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{H3}$$

Also observe that (3.1) implies that $u > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$.

We note that $u \gtrsim \exp(l|x|^m)$ as $|x| \to \infty$ is equivalent to that

$$\liminf_{|x|\to\infty} \frac{\log u}{|x|^m} \geq l.$$

Remark 2.

(i) The estimate (3.1) implies that the fastest possible decay rate for any nontrivial solution of (1.1) at any time $t > 0$ is the *exp-linear* function

$$e^{-(1+\varepsilon)|x|} \quad (\varepsilon > 0),$$

even if u_0 has a *rapid (exp-superlinear) decay rate*:

$$\exp(\delta|x|^\alpha) \quad (\delta < 0, \alpha > 1).$$

If u_0 has a rapid decay rate, we will consider instead a time τ_0-translated problem where the shifted initial condition $u(\tau_0)$ satisfies (3.1). On the other hand, if $\delta > 0$ and $\alpha > 1$, i.e. u_0 has a *rapid (exp-superlinear) growth rate*, it will be shown in Proposition 1 that solutions blow up completely regardless of the potential.

(ii) If u_0 has a *slow (exp-sublinear) decay* ($\delta < 0$) or *growth* ($\delta \geq 0$) *rate*:

$$\exp(\delta|x|^\alpha) \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1),$$

then it will be shown that a solution exhibits, at any time $t > 0$, at least the same rate. Finally, we note that, asymptotically

$$\exp(\delta|x|^\alpha) \sim \text{a constant} > 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha < 0.$$

Proposition 1 (Instantanneous blow-up I). *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a cone-like domain or a tube domain with one end at infinity and $\delta > 0$, $\alpha \geq 1$ be constants such that*

$$\begin{cases} \delta \in (0, \infty) & \text{if } \alpha > 1, \\ \delta \in [1, \infty) & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

If u_0 satisfies

$$\liminf_{|x|\to\infty, x\in\mathcal{K}} \frac{\log u_0}{|x|^\alpha} \geq \delta^\uparrow, \tag{3.2}$$

then (1.1) with arbitrary potential $a(x,t) \geq 0$ has no solutions on any Q_T; in fact, every solution blows up completely.

Proof. We note that $u \geq \mathcal{G}(t)u_0 := \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{k!}\mathcal{B}^k u_0$, where $\mathcal{G}(t)$ denotes the pseudoparabolic green operator, which implies in particular that $u \geq \mathcal{B}u_0$. Since $u_0 \geq Ce^{|x|}$ as $|x| \to \infty$, $x \in \mathcal{K}$, we get

$$u(x,t) \geq C \int_{|x-y|\geq 1, y\in\mathcal{K}, |y|\gg 1} |x-y|^{\frac{1-n}{2}} e^{-|x-y|} e^{|y|} dy = \infty,$$

which is true for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t > 0$. $\square$

According Remark 2 (i) and Proposition 1, it remains to consider the behavior of solutions to (1.1) assuming that the initial function satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \liminf\limits_{|x|\to\infty} \dfrac{\log u_0}{|x|^\alpha} \geq \delta^\uparrow \quad (\delta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \leq 1), \text{where} \\ \delta \in \mathbb{R} \qquad \text{if } \alpha < 1 \text{ (slow decay/growth)}, \\ \delta \in (-\infty, 1) \qquad \text{if } \alpha = 1 \text{ (exp-linear decay/growth)}. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

We prove the following a priori lower bound for solutions affected by the potential and the initial condition.

Theorem 1 (Asymptotic behavior). *Assume (H1), (H3), and (3.3). Let $0 \lneq u \in C(Q_T)$ be a solution of (1.1) in Q_T where $T < \infty$. Then, for any $\tau_0 > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, the following estimate is true*

$$\mu \gtrsim \exp\left((1-\varepsilon)c_T\lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma + \delta|x|^{\alpha+}\right) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times [\tau_0, T), \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$c_T := \min\left\{1, \frac{R_0^{-\sigma}}{\|\lambda\|_{L^\infty(0,T)}}\right\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. In view of Remark 2 (ii), if $\alpha < 0$ we get $u_0 \geq \text{const} > 0$. Then it can be seen from the proof below that u_0 does not affect all the estimates. We will assume that

$$0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

Observe that the assumption (3.3) is the same as $u_0 \geq C_0 e^{\delta|x|^\alpha}$.

By (H1), we have

$$V \geq 1 + \lambda(t)|x|^\sigma \cdot 1_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{R_0}}. \tag{3.6}$$

Let $\eta_0 > 0$ be the constant in Lemma 4. We prove the following claim.

Claim 1. *For all positive integer N, we have*

$$\mu \geq C_0\eta_0^N 2^{-\frac{N(N+1)}{2}\sigma}\frac{1}{N!}\lambda_*(t)^N|x|^{\sigma N}e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof (**Claim**). We employ Lemma 4 and (3.6). By (2.3) and that $u \geq u_0 \geq C_0 e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} =: I_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &\geq \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}}VI_0)(\tau)d\tau \geq \int_0^t C_0\eta_0\left(1 + \lambda(\tau)2^{-\sigma}|x|^\sigma\right)e^{\delta|x|^\alpha}d\tau,\\
&\geq C_0\eta_0 2^{-\sigma}\left(t + \lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma\right)e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} =: I_1,\\
\mu &\geq \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}}VI_1)(\tau)d\tau \geq \int_0^t C_0\eta_0^2 2^{-\sigma}\left(1 + \lambda(\tau)2^{-\sigma}|x|^\sigma\right)\left(\tau + \lambda_*(\tau)2^{-\sigma}|x|^\sigma\right)e^{\delta|x|^\alpha}d\tau,\\
&\geq C_0\eta_0^2 2^{-3\sigma}\frac{1}{2!}\left(t + \lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma\right)^2 e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} =: I_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have applied the fact that

$$1 + \lambda(\tau)2^{-\sigma}|x|^\sigma = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}(\tau + \lambda_*(\tau)2^{-\sigma}|x|^\sigma).$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &\geq \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}}VI_2)(\tau)d\tau \geq \int_0^t C_0\eta_0^3 2^{-3\sigma}\frac{1}{2!}\left(1 + \lambda(\tau)2^{-\sigma}|x|^\sigma\right)\left(\tau + \lambda_*(\tau)2^{-\sigma}|x|^\sigma\right)^2 e^{\delta|x|^\alpha}d\tau,\\
&\geq C_0\eta_0^3 2^{-6\sigma}\frac{1}{3!}\left(t + \lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma\right)^3 e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} =: I_3.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, it follows that

$$\mu \geq C_0\eta_0^N 2^{-\frac{N(N+1)}{2}\sigma}\frac{1}{N!}(t + \lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma)^N e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} \qquad \forall\, N > 0.$$

This implies the desired estimate of the claim. □

Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $d_0 = d_0(n,\delta,\alpha,1-\varepsilon)$ as in Lemma 3. In the preceding claim, let us choose $N = N(\varepsilon)$ sufficiently large so that

$$d := \sigma N \geq d_0.$$

Also fix $\tau_0 \in (0,T)$. It follows from the claim that

$$\mu \geq C_1 |x|^d e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times [\tau_0, T),$$

where $C_1 := C_0 \eta_0^N 2^{-(N(N+1)/2)\sigma} (1/N!) \lambda_*(\tau_0)^N$.

Let $v := u(t+\tau_0)$. So v satisfies

$$\partial_t v - \triangle \partial_t v = \triangle v + a(x, t+\tau_0) v, \quad v_0 = u(\tau_0).$$

$a(\cdot, t+\tau_0)$ satisfies the same hypotheses as $a(\cdot,t)$ with an obvious modification and

$$v_0 \geq J_0 := C_2 |x|^d e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3.8}$$

where $C_2 := C_1 e^{-\tau_0}$. The lower bound (3.6) implies that $W := 1 + a(x, t+\tau_0)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} W \geq \Lambda(t)|x|^\sigma \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0), \quad \text{where} \\ \Lambda(t) = c_T \lambda(t+\tau_0) > 0, \ c_T := \min\left\{1, \dfrac{R_0^{-\sigma}}{\|\lambda\|_{L^\infty(0,T)}}\right\}. \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

Since $T < \infty$, we have $c_T > 0$ and $\Lambda_*(t) \in (0,\infty)$ for all t.

Next we repeatedly apply Lemma 3 with $\gamma = 1-\varepsilon$ to get the following result.

Claim 2. *If $\nu = e^t v$, then we have*

$$\nu \geq C_2 |x|^d \exp\left((1-\varepsilon)\Lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma + \delta|x|^\alpha\right), \quad \Lambda_*(t) := \int_0^t \Lambda(\tau) d\tau. \tag{3.10}$$

Proof (**Claim**). Since ν satisfies $\nu = v_0 + \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}} W \nu)(\tau) d\tau$ and $\nu \geq v_0 \geq J_0$, we have by Lemma 3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &\geq J_0 + \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}} W J_0)(\tau) d\tau, \\ &\geq J_0 + C_2(1-\varepsilon) \int_0^t \Lambda(\tau) |x|^{\sigma+d} e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} d\tau, \\ &= J_0 + C_2(1-\varepsilon)\Lambda_*(t)|x|^{\sigma+d} e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} =: J_0 + J_1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &\geq J_0 + \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}} W (J_0 + J_1))(\tau) d\tau \geq J_0 + J_1 + \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}} W J_1)(\tau) d\tau, \\ &\geq J_0 + J_1 + C_2(1-\varepsilon)^2 \int_0^t \Lambda(\tau)\Lambda_*(\tau) |x|^{2\sigma+d} e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} d\tau, \\ &\geq J_0 + J_1 + C_1 \frac{1}{2!} ((1-\varepsilon)\Lambda_*(t))^2 |x|^{2\sigma+d} e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} =: J_0 + J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &\geq J_0 + \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}} W (J_0 + J_1 + J_2))(\tau) d\tau \geq J_0 + J_1 + J_2 + \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}} W J_2)(\tau) d\tau, \\ &\geq \sum_{k=0}^2 J_k + C_2(1-\varepsilon)^3 \int_0^t \Lambda(\tau) \frac{1}{2!} \Lambda_*(\tau)^2 |x|^{3\sigma+d} e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} d\tau, \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^2 J_k + C_2 \frac{1}{3!} ((1-\varepsilon)\Lambda_*(t))^3 |x|^{3\sigma+d} e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} =: \sum_{k=0}^3 J_k. \end{aligned}$$

By induction we obtain for any positive integer N that

$$\nu \geq \sum_{k=0}^{N} C_2 \frac{1}{k!}((1-\varepsilon)\Lambda_*(t))^k |x|^{k\sigma+d} e^{\delta|x|^\alpha}.$$

This is true for all N, hence we obtain

$$\nu \geq C_2|x|^d e^{\delta|x|^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{((1-\varepsilon)\Lambda_*(t))^k}{k!}|x|^{k\sigma} = C_2|x|^d e^{(1-\varepsilon)\Lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma+\delta|x|^\alpha},$$

which is the desired estimate. □

Since $\mu(t+\tau_0) = e^{\tau_0}\nu$ it follows from the preceding claim that

$$\mu(t+\tau_0) \geq C_1|x|^d \exp\left((1-\varepsilon)c_T\lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma + \delta|x|^\alpha\right).$$

On $S = \{|x| \leq 1, t \in [\tau_0, T]\}$, we set

$$m := \min_S \mu(x,t), \quad l := \max_S \exp\left((1-\varepsilon)c_T\lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma + \delta|x|^d\right)$$

which are positive real numbers. Then we have

$$\mu \geq \begin{cases} m & \text{if } (x,t) \in S, \\ C_1 \exp\left((1-\varepsilon)c_T\lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma + \delta|x|^\alpha\right) & \text{if } |x| \geq 1, t \in [\tau_0, T]. \end{cases}$$

which implies that

$$\mu(x,t) \geq C_3 \exp\left((1-\varepsilon)c_T\lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma + \delta|x|^\alpha\right) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > \tau_0),$$

where $C_3 := C_1 \min\{1, mC_1^{-1}l^{-1}\}$. □

Our next aim is to prove some non-existence results for the Cauchy problem (1.1).

Theorem 2. *Assume (H1) and (H3). Assume further that (3.3) is true.*

(i) ***(Instantaneous blow-up II)*** *If $\sigma > 1$, then Eq. (1.1) has no nontrivial positive solution on any Q_T. In fact, every such solution blows up completely on Q_T.*

(ii) ***(Nonexistence of global solutions)*** *If $\sigma = 1$ with $\lambda > 0$ satisfies*

$$\sup_{\tau>0} c_\tau\lambda_*(\tau) > \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ 1-\delta_- & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

then Eq. (1.1) has no nontrivial global solution.

Proof. (i) Assume $u \gneq 0$ is a solution of (1.1) on some Q_T. Fix any $\tau_0 > 0$. By Theorem 1 then

$$\mu \geq C \exp\left((1-\varepsilon)c_T\lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma + \delta|x|^{\alpha_+}\right) \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tau_0 \leq t < T).$$

We note that $\sigma > 1 \geq \alpha_+$. Define

$$L(t) := \left(\frac{2|\delta|}{(1-\varepsilon)c_T\lambda_*(t)}\right)^{1/(\sigma-\alpha_+)} \quad (t \geq \tau_0).$$

If $|x| > L(t)$, then $(1-\varepsilon)c_T\lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma + \delta|x|^{\alpha_+} \geq (1/2)(1-\varepsilon)c_T\lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma$, hence

$$\mu \geq C \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)c_T\lambda_*(t)|x|^\sigma\right) \quad \text{on } \{|x| > L(t), t \geq \tau_0\}.$$

Observe that $t \mapsto L(t)$ is a decreasing function and $L(t) \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 1$.

Fix $\tau_1 \in (\tau_0, T)$ and define

$$l := \inf_{|x| \le L(\tau_0), t \in [\tau_0, \tau_1]} \mu(x,t) > 0. \tag{3.12}$$

For each $t \in [\tau_0, \tau_1]$, we have

$$\mu(x,t) \ge \begin{cases} l & \text{if } |x| \le L(\tau_0), \\ C \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon) c_T \lambda_*(t) |x|^\sigma\right) & \text{if } |x| > L(\tau_0). \end{cases}$$

This implies

$$\mu(x,t) \ge C' e^{\beta |x|^\sigma} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in [\tau_0, \tau_1]), \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$\beta := \frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon) c_T \lambda_*(\tau_0), \quad C' := e^{-\beta L(\tau_0)^\sigma} \min\left\{ l, C e^{\beta L(\tau_0)^\sigma} \right\}. \tag{3.14}$$

Now for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [\tau_0, \tau_1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x,t) &= u_0 + \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}} V \mu)(x,\tau) d\tau \ge \int_0^t (\mathcal{B}\mu)(x,\tau) d\tau, \\ &\ge \int_{\tau_0}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \underline{b}(y) e^{-|y|} C' e^{\beta |x-y|^\sigma} dy, \\ &= (t - \tau_0) C' \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \underline{b}(y) e^{\beta |x-y|^\sigma - |y|} dy =: (t-\tau_0) C' K(x). \end{aligned}$$

We consider the integral $K(x)$. If $|y| \ge 1$ then $\underline{b}(y) \ge C |y|^{(1-n)/2}$. Also if in addition $|y| \gg |x|$, says

$$|y| \ge r_0 := \max\left\{ \frac{1}{1-\nu}|x|, \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon_0}{\beta \nu^\sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \right\}, \quad (0 < \nu < 1, \varepsilon_0 > 0),$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta |x-y|^\sigma - |y| &\ge \beta (|y| - |x|)^\sigma - |y| \ge \beta \nu^\sigma |y|^\sigma - |y|, \\ &\ge |y| \beta \nu^\sigma \cdot \frac{1+\varepsilon_0}{\beta \nu^\sigma} - |y| \ge \varepsilon_0 |y|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$K \ge \tilde{C} \int_{|y| \ge 1 \wedge r_0} |y|^{\frac{1-n}{2}} e^{\varepsilon_0 |y|} dy = \infty.$$

So u instantaneously blows up on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [\tau_0, T)$ for any $\tau_0 > 0$, implying the assertion (i).

(ii) Assume that $u \ge 0$ is a nontrivial global solution for Eq. (1.1). By the assumption (3.11), we can choose τ_0, T sufficiently large with $\tau_0 < T$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ close to 0 so that

$$(1-\varepsilon) c_T \lambda_*(t) > \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha < 1, \\ 1 - \delta_- & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases} \quad \text{for all } t \in [\tau_0, T].$$

By Theorem 1 we then have

$$\mu \gtrsim e^{|x|}$$

for all $|x|$ sufficiently large, uniformyly for $t \in [\tau_0, T]$. Using that $\mu \geq \int_0^t \mathcal{B}\mu(\tau)\, d\tau$ it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(x,t) &\geq C(t-\tau_0)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \underline{b}(x-y)e^{-|x-y|}e^{|y|}dy,\\ &\geq C(t-\tau_0)\int_{|x-y|\geq 1, |y|\gg 1} |x-y|^{\frac{1-n}{2}}e^{-|x|}dy = \infty,\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tau_0 < t \leq T$.

Corollary 1. *Let $\sigma \geq 1$. Then the equation*

$$\partial_t u - \triangle \partial_t u = \triangle u + t^\nu a(x)u \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0), \tag{3.15}$$

where $\nu \geq 0$ $a(x) \gtrsim |x|^\sigma$ as $|x| \to \infty$, has no solutions $u \gneq 0$ in the case $\sigma > 1$ and it has no nontrivial global solutions $u \gneq 0$ when $\sigma = 1$. In particular, the problem

$$\partial_t u - \triangle \partial_t u = \triangle u + t^\nu |x|^\sigma u \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0) \tag{3.16}$$

has no solutions $u \gneq 0$ if $\sigma > 1$ and it has no global solutions $u \gneq 0$ if $\sigma = 1$.

Corollary 2. *Let λ be a constant. Assume that*

$$\lambda > 1 \quad \textit{and} \quad \liminf_{|x|\to\infty} \log u_0 \geq 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Then the Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t u - \triangle \partial_t u = \triangle u + \lambda e^{-t}|x|u, \quad u(0) = u_0 \tag{3.18}$$

has no global solution $u \gneq 0$.

4. Bessel convolution multiplication operator

In this section we investigate the Bessel convolution multiplication operator and its iterations (1.7) which arise in the study of (1.1) and (1.2). Assume $V : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$ $(0 < T \leq \infty)$ is a real-valued function that has at most a power spacial growth at infinity; precisely, there is $\sigma \geq 0$ such that

$$|V(x,t)| \leq \Lambda(t)|x|^\sigma + 1 \quad \text{where } \Lambda \in B_{loc}([0,T)). \tag{4.1}$$

Our main goal is to study the one-parameter semigroup

$$e^{t\dot{\mathcal{B}}V} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!}\dot{\mathcal{B}}V^k, \tag{4.2}$$

which arises from the evolution equation (1.1).

All the results can be generalized to more general operators, especially, in the study of (1.2). In fact, we can consider any convolution operator $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\mathcal{H}\varphi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(x-y)\varphi(y)\, dy, \tag{4.3}$$

such that the kernel function H satisfies

$$H(x) = H(|x|) \geq 0, \quad H \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad H(x) \lesssim e^{-|x|} \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty. \tag{4.4}$$

Lemma 6. *Let $D \in [0,\infty)$, $\gamma \in (0,\infty)$, and $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. Then*

$$|y|^D e^{-\gamma|x-y|} \le \left(|x| + \frac{D}{(1-\varepsilon)\gamma}\right)^D e^{-\varepsilon\gamma|x-y|} \quad (\forall\, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n). \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. By homogenization, it suffices to show that

$$|y|e^{-|x-y|} \le |x| + 1 \quad \forall\, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

But this is true because $(|y| - |x|)e^{-|x-y|} \le |x-y|e^{-|x-y|} \le 1 \le |x|(1 - e^{-|x-y|}) + 1$. □

Due to the fact that the kernel $B \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $B \lesssim e^{-|x|}$ at infinity, and V has at most a power growth, we have the following estimates for $\dot{\mathcal{B}}V$.

Lemma 7. *There is $c_0 = c_0(n) > 0$ such that, for any $\Lambda_0 > 0$, $D \ge 0$, and $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, we have*

$$\left|\mathcal{B}\left((\Lambda_0|\cdot|^D + 1)g\right)\right| \le c_0 \left[\Lambda_0 \left(|x| + \frac{D}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^D + 1\right] \Phi_\varepsilon|g|, \tag{4.6}$$

where $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\Phi_\varepsilon|g| = e^{-\varepsilon|\cdot|} * |g|. \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. We can assume $g \ge 0$. Using the upper estimate (2.4) for the kernel B then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}\left(|\cdot|^D g\right) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(x-y)|y|^D g(y)dy, \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{b}(x-y)e^{-|x-y|}|y|^D g(y)dy, \\
&\lesssim \int_{|x-y|<1} \overline{b}(x-y)e^{-|x-y|}|y|^D g(y)dy + \int_{|x-y|\ge 1} e^{-|x-y|}|y|^D g(y)dy.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6, with $\gamma = 1$, we have

$$|y|^D e^{-|x-y|} \le \left(|x| + \frac{D}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^D e^{-\varepsilon|x-y|}.$$

Using Young's inequality, it follows that $\int_{|x-y|<1} \overline{b}(x-y)g(y)dy \lesssim \int_{|x-y|<1} g(y)dy$, hence

$$\mathcal{B}\left(|\cdot|^D g\right) \lesssim \left(|x| + \frac{D}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^\delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\varepsilon|x-y|} g(y)dy.$$

Summing this estimate together with the case $D = 0$, the desired estimate then follows. □

Remark 3. The estimate in this lemma is true for any operator $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying (4.4).

Let us study a typical case that $V = V_0$ where

$$V_0 = \Lambda_0|x|^\sigma + 1, \tag{4.8}$$

for some constants $\Lambda_0 > 0$ and $\sigma \ge 0$. For convenience, in the proof of the following result we will denote the power function

$$\mathcal{P}_{\gamma,V_0} := \Lambda_0 \left(|x| + \frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right)^\sigma + 1. \tag{4.9}$$

Theorem 3. *Let $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \ldots < \varepsilon_N < 1$. Then there is a constant $h = h(n, \Lambda_0, \sigma, \varepsilon_1) > 0$ such that*

$$\left|\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0^N g\right| \le h^N \left[\Lambda_0 \left(|x| + \frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right)^\sigma + 1\right]^N \Phi_\varrho |g|, \tag{4.10}$$

where $\gamma := (1-\varepsilon_N)\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_{N-1}$ and $\varrho := \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_N$.

Proof. Since γ is fixed, let us write $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma,V_0} = \mathcal{P}_\gamma$. By Lemma 7, we immediately obtain

$$|\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0 g| \le c_0 \mathcal{P}_{1-\varepsilon_1} \Phi_{\varepsilon_1} |g|. \tag{4.11}$$

Using this estimate then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0^2 g\right| &= \left|\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0 (\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0 g))\right|, \\ &\le c_0^2 \mathcal{P}_{1-\varepsilon_1} \Phi_{\varepsilon_1} (\mathcal{P}_{1-\varepsilon_1} \Phi_{\varepsilon_1} |g|).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 (i) and Lemma 6, we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{1-\varepsilon_1} \le \Lambda_0 |x|^\sigma + \Lambda_0 \left(\frac{\sigma}{1-\varepsilon_1}\right)^\sigma + 1 \le L\left(\Lambda_0 |x|^\sigma + 1\right), \quad L := \Lambda_0 \left(\frac{\sigma}{1-\varepsilon_1}\right)^\sigma + 1. \tag{4.12}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0^2 g\right| &\le c_0^2 L \mathcal{P}_{1-\varepsilon_1} \Phi_{\varepsilon_1} \left((\Lambda_0 |\cdot|^\sigma + 1) \Phi_{\varepsilon_1} |g|\right), \\ &= c_0^2 L \mathcal{P}_{1-\varepsilon_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} (\Lambda_0 |y|^\sigma + 1) e^{-\varepsilon_1 |x-y|} e^{-\varepsilon_1 |y-z|} |g(z)| dz dy, \\ &\le c_0^2 L \mathcal{P}_{1-\varepsilon_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \left[\Lambda_0 \left(|x| + \frac{\sigma}{(1-\varepsilon_2)\varepsilon_1}\right)^\sigma + 1\right] e^{-\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 |x-y|} e^{-\varepsilon_1 |y-z|} |g(z)| dz dy, \\ &\le c_0^2 L \mathcal{P}_{1-\varepsilon_1} \mathcal{P}_{(1-\varepsilon_2)\varepsilon_1} \Phi_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} |g| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\varepsilon_1 (1-\varepsilon_2) |y-z|} dy, \\ &\le h^2 \mathcal{P}_{1-\varepsilon_1} \mathcal{P}_{(1-\varepsilon_2)\varepsilon_1} \Phi_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} |g|,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$h := c_0 L \max\left\{1, \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\varepsilon_1 (1-\varepsilon_1)|y|} dy\right\}$$

and we have used Lemma 6 with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_2$ and $\gamma = \varepsilon_1$ in the second inequality, and in the third inequality we applied the triangle inequality to get that

$$-\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 |x-y| \le -\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 |x-z| + \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 |y-z|.$$

Since $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < 1$, it follows that $\mathcal{P}_{1-\varepsilon_1} \le \mathcal{P}_{(1-\varepsilon_2)\varepsilon_1}$. So we obtain

$$\left|\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0^2 g\right| \le \left(h \mathcal{P}_{(1-\varepsilon_2)\varepsilon_1}\right)^2 \Phi_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} |g|, \tag{4.13}$$

which proves the desired estimate for the case $N = 2$.

Next, by using the estimates (4.11), (4.12), and (4.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0^3 g\right| &\le c_0 h^2 \mathcal{P}_{(1-\varepsilon_2)\varepsilon_1}^2 \Phi_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} \left(\mathcal{P}_{1-\varepsilon_1} \Phi_{\varepsilon_1} |g|\right), \\ &\le c_0 L h^2 \mathcal{P}_{(1-\varepsilon_2)\varepsilon_1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\Lambda_0 |y|^\sigma + 1\right) e^{-\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 |x-y|} e^{-\varepsilon_1 |y-z|} |g(z)| dz dy, \\ &\le c_0 L h^2 \mathcal{P}_{(1-\varepsilon_2)\varepsilon_1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} \left[\Lambda_0 \left(|x| + \frac{\sigma}{(1-\varepsilon_3)\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}\right)^\sigma + 1\right] e^{-\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3 |x-y|} e^{-\varepsilon_1 |y-z|} |g(z)| dz dy, \\ &\le c_0 L h^2 \mathcal{P}_{(1-\varepsilon_2)\varepsilon_1}^2 \mathcal{P}_{(1-\varepsilon_3)\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} \Phi_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3} |g| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\varepsilon_1 (1-\varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3) |y-z|} dy, \\ &\le h^3 \mathcal{P}_{(1-\varepsilon_3)\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2}^3 \Phi_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \varepsilon_3} |g|.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain by induction that

$$\left|\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0^N g\right| \le \left(h\mathcal{P}_{(1-\varepsilon_N)\varepsilon_1\cdots\varepsilon_{N-1}}\right)^N \Phi_{\varepsilon_1\cdots\varepsilon_N}|g|,$$

which is the desired estimate. □

Remark 4.

(i) The estimate in Theorem 3 relies on the technical result Lemma 1 (i), Lemma 6, and Lemma 7, so it is true also if we replace $\mathcal{B}$ with the operator $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying (4.4).

(ii) The result of Theorem 3 means that $\mathcal{B}V_0$ and $\mathcal{B}V_0^N$ are essentially the multiplications by a power function to the usual convolution operator with kernel $e^{-\varrho|x|}$ $(0 \le \varrho < 1)$. Also observe that we can take $\varrho \to 1$ arbitrarily close with the trade-off that $h \to \infty$ and $\gamma \to 0$.

For a time-dependent potential satisfying (4.1), we have the following result.

Corollary 3. *Assume $V = V(x,t)$ satisfies (4.1) and let $\tau_0 \in (0,T)$. Then for any $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \cdots < \varepsilon_N < 1$, there is a constant $h = h(n, \|\Lambda\|_{L^\infty(0,\tau_0)}, \sigma, \varepsilon_1) > 0$ such that*

$$\left|\dot{\mathcal{B}}V^N g\right| \le \left(h\mathcal{P}_{\gamma,\overline{V}_0}\right)^N \Phi_\varrho|g| \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,\tau_0], \tag{4.14}$$

where $\gamma = (1-\varepsilon_N)\varepsilon_1\cdots\varepsilon_{N-1}$, $\varrho = \varepsilon_1\cdots\varepsilon_N$, and

$$\overline{V}_0 := \|\Lambda\|_{L^\infty(0,\tau_0)}|x|^\sigma + 1. \tag{4.15}$$

Proof. The proof follows from the preceding theorem together with the fact that

$$\left|\dot{\mathcal{B}}Vg\right| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(x-y)V(y,t)|g(y)|dy \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(x-y)\overline{V}_0(y,t)|g(y)|dy = \dot{\mathcal{B}}\overline{V}_0|g|$$

and $|\dot{\mathcal{B}}V^N g| \le \dot{\mathcal{B}}\overline{V}_0^N|g|$. □

Now we establish the existence of one-parameter (semi)groups $e^{t\dot{\mathcal{B}}V}$.

Theorem 4 (One-parameter semigroup). *Let $V : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying (4.1) and $\tau_0 \in (0,T)$. Assume that*

$$\sigma \in [0,1).$$

Then for each $\varrho > 0$ and any function $\varphi \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $e^{-\varrho|\cdot|} * |\varphi| < \infty$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the series

$$e^{t\dot{\mathcal{B}}V}\varphi := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!}\dot{\mathcal{B}}V^k\varphi \tag{4.16}$$

converges at each point on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T)$. In fact, the series converges uniformly on compact subsets to a continuous function.

Proof. We shall prove the convergence by selecting an increasing sequence of real numbers $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < \cdots < \varepsilon_k < \cdots < 1$ and applying Corollary 3. The precise specifications about this sequence will be described during the proof. Let us denote

$$\gamma_k := (1-\varepsilon_k)\varepsilon_1\cdots\varepsilon_{k-1}, \quad \varrho_k := \varepsilon_1\cdots\varepsilon_k.$$

Fix $\tau_0 \in (0,T)$ and denote

$$\overline{V}_0 = \Lambda_0|x|^\sigma + 1, \quad \Lambda_0 = \|\Lambda\|_{L^\infty(0,\tau_0)}, \quad \mathcal{P}_\gamma = \mathcal{P}_{\gamma,\overline{V}_0}.$$

By the triangle inequality and Corollary 3 we can estimate the finite sum

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{t^k}{k!}\dot{\mathcal{B}}V^k\varphi\right| \le \sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{t^k}{k!}\left|\dot{\mathcal{B}}V^k\varphi\right| \le \sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{t^k}{k!}\left(h\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_k}\right)^k \Phi_{\varrho_k}|\varphi| =: \varphi_N. \tag{4.17}$$

Also by Lemma 1 we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{\gamma_k}^k = \left[\Lambda_0\left(|x|+\frac{\sigma}{\gamma_k}\right)^\sigma + 1\right]^k \le (2\Lambda_0)^k|x|^{\sigma k} + 2^k\left[\Lambda_0\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma_k}\right)^\sigma + 1\right]^k. \tag{4.18}$$

From the estimates (4.17) and (4.17), we obtain

$$|\varphi_N| \le J_N + K_N \quad \text{where} \begin{cases} J_N := \displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{(2h\Lambda_0 t)^k}{k!}|x|^{\sigma k}\Phi_{\varrho_k}|\varphi|, \\ K_N := \displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{N}\frac{(2ht)^k}{k!}\left[\Lambda_0\left(\frac{\sigma}{\gamma_k}\right)^\sigma + 1\right]^k \Phi_{\varrho_k}|\varphi|. \end{cases}$$

We now impose the first condition on ε_k. Assume ε_k has the property that the infinite product

$$\varrho_* := \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\varepsilon_k > 0. \tag{4.19}$$

This can be achieved precisely when $\sum(1-\varepsilon_k) < \infty$. Then $\Phi_{\varrho_k}|\varphi| \le \Phi_{\varrho_*}|\varphi|$ for all k, so the following estimate for J_N as $N \to \infty$ is true:

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} J_N \le L_\infty \Phi_{\varrho_*}|\varphi|, \quad L_\infty(x,t) := e^{2h\Lambda_0 t|x|^\sigma}. \tag{4.20}$$

Next we consider K_N. If $\sigma = 0$, then clearly

$$K_N \to e^{2ht}\Phi_{\varrho_*}|\varphi|.$$

Assume that $\sigma > 0$. To obtain the convergence for K_N we further restrict ε_k as follows. Fix $\theta \in (0,1)$ small to be specified and choose $l > 0$ so that $l - 1/\sigma < -1$. The latter can be done because $\sigma \in (0,1)$. Now we take the sequence

$$\varepsilon_k = 1 - \theta k^{l-1/\sigma} \quad (k \ge 1). \tag{4.21}$$

Since $\sum \theta \cdot k^{l-1/\sigma} < \infty$, it follows that $\varrho_* > 0$. In addition, we have $\gamma_k \ge (1-\varepsilon_k)\varrho_* = \theta k^{l-1/\sigma}\varrho_*$, hence the following estimate is true

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{N\to\infty} K_N &\le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(2ht)^k}{k!}\left[\Lambda_0\left(\frac{\sigma}{\theta\varrho_*}\right)^\sigma k^{1-\sigma l} + 1\right]^k \Phi_{\varrho_*}|\varphi|, \\ &\le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(2hFt)^k}{k!}k^{k(1-\sigma l)}\Phi_{\varrho_*}|\varphi| =: M_\infty \Phi_{\varrho_*}|\varphi|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_\infty(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(2hFt)^k}{k!}k^{k(1-\sigma l)}, \quad F := \Lambda_0\left(\frac{\sigma}{\theta\varrho_*}\right)^\sigma + 1. \tag{4.22}$$

By the ratio test, then the series M_∞ is convergence for all t.

Now we further analyse ϱ_* so that $\Phi_{\varrho_*}|u_0| < \infty$. Note that $1 - x \geq e^{-2x}$ for all $0 \leq x \leq (\ln 2)/2$. By taking $\theta > 0$ sufficiently small we have

$$\varrho_* = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1 - \theta k^{l-1/\sigma}) \geq \exp\left(-2\theta \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{l-1/\sigma}\right). \tag{4.23}$$

Thus ϱ_* can be arbitrary close to 1 by taking $\theta \to 0$. Moreover, we choose $0 < \theta \leq \theta_0$ where

$$\theta_0 = \frac{1}{2}\min\left\{\ln 2, \frac{-\log \varrho}{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} - l\right)}\right\}, \tag{4.24}$$

where ζ is the Riemann zeta function, then we obtain $\varrho_* \geq \varrho$. So $\Phi_{\varrho_*}|\varphi| < \infty$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. This implies the pointwise convergence of $e^{t\dot{\mathcal{B}}V}\varphi = \lim_{N\to\infty}\varphi_N$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \tau_0]$. But $\tau_0 < T$ is arbitrary, therefore we have the convergence on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T)$. The uniform convergence on compact subsets is obvious. □

Corollary 4. *Under the same conditions as Theorem 4, the following estimate holds*

$$\left|e^{t\dot{\mathcal{B}}V}\varphi\right| \leq \Gamma(x, t; \sigma, \varrho, \|\Lambda\|_{L^\infty(0,\tau_0)})\, \Phi_\varrho|\varphi| \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \tau_0], \tag{4.25}$$

where $\Gamma > 0$ is the function defined by

$$\Gamma = L_\infty(x, t) + M_\infty(t) \tag{4.26}$$

and L_∞, M_∞ are defined by (4.20) and (4.22) respectively.

Remark 5.

(i) The function Γ behaves regularly if σ and ϱ are strictly less than 1. If the (minimal) parameter $\varrho \to 1$ in the condition of φ, we have $h \to \infty$, $\theta_0 \to 0$, and $L_\infty, M_\infty \to \infty$; hence

$$\Gamma \to \infty \quad \text{for each } (x, t).$$

The same conclusion holds if $\sigma \to 1$ because $\theta_0 \to 0$.

(ii) The proof of the preceding theorem fails when $\sigma = 1$. In this case, it is not possible to select l so that $l - 1/\sigma < -1$ (which implies $\varrho_* > 0$) and at the same time $1 - \sigma l < 1$ (which implies the convergence of M_∞).

(iii) Similar result as Theorem 4 holds if $\mathcal{B}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying (4.4).

5. Existence of solutions and comparison principles

In this section we prove some existence and uniqueness of solutions for the equation (1.1). Some comparison principles are also presented. We first show that the problem (1.1) with the potential

$$a = \Lambda_0|x|^\sigma \text{ where } \Lambda_0 > 0,\ \sigma \in [0, 1) \text{ are constants,} \tag{5.1}$$

admits a unique global solution (in the sense of (2.3)) provided the initial function does not grow too fast. Here we do not put the positivity assumption on the initial function and the solution, but, as it will be revealed, the positivity is preserved. The result will be generalized to more general potentials later.

Recall the notation from the previous section:

$$V_0 := \Lambda_0|x|^\sigma + 1, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\gamma,V_0} := \Lambda_0\left(|x| + \frac{\sigma}{\gamma}\right)^\sigma + 1,$$
$$\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0 f = B * (V_0 f), \quad \dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0^N := \dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0^{N-1} \circ \dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0.$$

To find the solution $\mu := e^t u$ of (2.3), we consider the Picard iteration scheme, i.e. consider

$$U_1 := u_0 + \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0 u_0 d\tau = u_0 + t\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0 u_0,$$
$$U_2 := u_0 + \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0 U_1 d\tau = u_0 + t\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0 u_0 + \frac{t^2}{2!}\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0^2 u_0,$$
$$U_N := u_0 + \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0 U_{N-1} d\tau \quad (N \geq 2),$$

which by induction we get

$$U_N = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{t^k}{k!} \dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0^k u_0 \quad (N \geq 1). \tag{5.2}$$

It should be remarked that the above identity holds because of the time-independence of V_0 on t.

Theorem 5 (Global well-posedness I). *Let $\Lambda_0 > 0$ and $\sigma \in [0, 1)$. Assume $u_0 \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and there is a constant $\varrho \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\varrho|x-y|} |u_0(y)| dy < \infty \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{5.3}$$

Then $\{U_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ in (5.2) converges on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ to a continuous function μ. Moreover, $u = e^{-t}\mu$ is the unique global solution of (1.1) with $a(x, t) = \Lambda_0 |x|^\sigma$, and, in addition, $u \geq 0$ whenever $u_0 \geq 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 4 the first assertion is true and we can define

$$\mu := \lim_{N\to\infty} U_N = e^{t\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0} u_0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty). \tag{5.4}$$

Also, the uniform convergence on compact subsets of $\{U_N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$ and the dominated convergence theorem give that the function μ satisfies

$$\mu(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0 \mu)(\tau) d\tau. \tag{5.5}$$

Thus $u = e^{-t}\mu$ is a solution for (1.1) in the sense of (2.3). That u_0 implies $u \geq 0$ is obvious. The uniqueness of solution is true by the comparison principle (Theorem 6) below. $\square$

Definition 2 (Time-independent potentials). The *Green operator* for (1.1) with $a = \Lambda_0 |x|^\sigma$ where $\Lambda_0 > 0$ and $\sigma \in [0, 1)$ is defined to be

$$\mathcal{G}_a(t) = e^{t\dot{\mathcal{B}}(\triangle + \Lambda_0 |\cdot|^\sigma)} := e^{-t} e^{t\dot{\mathcal{B}}(\Lambda_0 |\cdot|^\sigma + 1)}. \tag{5.6}$$

It is acting on functions belonging to the space

$$\mathcal{E} = \bigcup_{0 \leq \varrho < 1} \mathcal{E}_\varrho \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{E}_\varrho := \left\{ f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\varrho|x-y|} |f(y)| dy < \infty \right\} = L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, e^{-\varrho|\cdot|}).$$

Remark 6.

(i) By Theorem 5 and the preceding definition, if $a = \Lambda_0 |x|^\sigma$ ($\Lambda_0 > 0, \sigma \in [0, 1)$) and $u_0 \in \mathcal{E}$, then

$$u = \mathcal{G}_a(t) u_0$$

is the unique global solution to in (1.1). Using the result of Corollary 4, it follows that

$$|\mathcal{G}_a(t) u_0| \leq e^{-t} \Gamma(x, t; \sigma, \varrho, \Lambda_0)\, e^{-\varrho|\cdot|} * |u_0|.$$

We remark that if $u_0 \geq 0$ then so is u, or in other words, $\mathcal{G}_a(t)$ is a positive operator.

(ii) $\mathcal{E}$ is a Fréchet space whose metric can be induced by the weighted L^1-norms.

Theorem 6 (Comparison principle I). *Let $\Lambda_0 > 0$, $\sigma \in [0,1)$. Assume $u_0, v_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ and $u, v \in C([0,T), \mathcal{E})$ satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} u(t) \le u_0 + \displaystyle\int_0^t \mathcal{B}\left((\triangle + \Lambda_0|\cdot|^\sigma)u(\tau)\right)\, d\tau, \\ v(t) \ge v_0 + \displaystyle\int_0^t \mathcal{B}\left((\triangle + \Lambda_0|\cdot|^\sigma)v(\tau)\right)\, d\tau, \end{cases} \tag{5.7}$$

for all $0 < t < T$. We have

$$u_0 \le v_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u \le v. \tag{5.8}$$

Proof. Let $V_0 = \Lambda_0|x|^\sigma + 1$, and $\mu = e^t u$, $\nu = e^t v$ which satisfy

$$\mu(x,t) \le u_0 + \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0\mu)(x,\tau)\, d\tau, \quad \nu(x,t) \ge v_0 + \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0\nu)(x,\tau)\, d\tau.$$

We also define a function $w \in C([0,T), \mathcal{E})$ by

$$w(x,t) := \max_{0\le\tau\le t} (\mu - \nu)_+(x,t) \ge 0. \tag{5.9}$$

Observe that if $s < \tau$ then $w(x,s) \le w(x,\tau)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This implies

$$(\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0^N w)(x,s) \le (\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0^N w)(x,\tau) \quad \text{for all } N \ge 1 \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{5.10}$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu - \nu)(x,t) &\le (u_0 - v_0) + \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0(\mu - \nu))(x,\tau)d\tau, \\ &\le \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0(\mu - \nu)_+)(x,\tau)\, d\tau \le \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0 w)(x,\tau)\, d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

So we get a Gronwall type inequality:

$$w(x,t) \le \int_0^t (\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0 w)(x,\tau)\, d\tau. \tag{5.11}$$

Claim 3. *For each integer $N \ge 1$, we have*

$$w \le \frac{\tau^{N+1}}{(N+1)!}\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0^N w \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0,T). \tag{5.12}$$

Proof (**Claim**). Since $(\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0 w)(x,t)$ is increasing in t, we have by (5.11) that

$$w(x,t) \le (\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0 w)(x,t) \int_0^t d\tau = \frac{t^2}{2!}(\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0 w)(x,t), \tag{5.13}$$

which is the case $N = 1$.

Assume the claim is true for an integer $N = k \ge 1$. Employing (5.11), the induction hypothesis, and the fact that $(\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0^{k+1} w)(x,t)$ is increasing in t, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} w(x,t) &\le \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0 \left(\frac{\tau^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}(\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0^k w)\right)(x,\tau)\, d\tau, \\ &\le (\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0^{k+1} w)(x,t) \int_0^t \frac{\tau^{k+1}}{(k+1)!}\, d\tau = \frac{t^{k+2}}{(k+2)!}(\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0^{k+1} w)(x,t) \end{aligned}$$

hence the claim is true for all N. $\square$

We continue the proof of the comparison theorem. Fix time $t = \tau_0 > 0$. Applying Theorem 4 to the function $\varphi = w(\cdot, \tau_0) \in \mathcal{E}$ we find that the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(\tau_0^k/k!)\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0^k\varphi$ is convergence. Thus

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\tau_0^k}{k!}(\dot{\mathcal{B}}V_0^k w)(x,\tau_0) = 0, \tag{5.14}$$

which implies, using the claim above, that

$$w(x,\tau_0) = 0. \tag{5.15}$$

This is true for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\tau_0 > 0$, hence $w \equiv 0$. We conclude that $u \le v$. $\square$

Next, we generalize the results to time-dependent potentials. A motivation for the following result can be seen from the following simple ODE:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\varphi = B(t)\varphi, \quad \varphi|_{t=0} = \varphi_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.16}$$

By the variation of parameter (or the technique of finding integrating factor), we obtain the solution

$$\varphi(t) = e^{\int_0^t B(\tau)\,d\tau}\varphi_0. \tag{5.17}$$

Theorem 7 (Global well-posedness II). *Let $a \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,\infty))$ be a function satisfying (H2) where $\Lambda \in B_{loc}([0,\infty))$ and $\sigma \in [0,1)$. Then for each $u_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ the problem (1.1) admits a unique global solution $u \in C([0,\infty);\mathcal{E})$. Furthermore, in the case of nonnegative potential, if $u_0 \ge 0$ then $u \ge 0$.*

Proof. Let us denote $V = a(x,t) + 1$. We introduce the operators

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)g := \displaystyle\int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}}V(\tau)g\,d\tau = \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(x-y)V(y,\tau)g(y)dy\,d\tau, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)^N g := \widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)^{N-1}(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)g), \quad (N \ge 2). \end{cases}$$

For example, when $N = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)^2 g &= \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(x-y)V(y,\tau)(\widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(\tau)g)(y)dy\,d\tau, \\ &= \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^\tau\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B(x-y)B(y-z)V(y,\tau)V(z,s)g(z)\,dzdsdyd\tau. \end{aligned}$$

When g also depends on t, we put $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)g = \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}}V(\tau)g(\tau)\,d\tau$. If V is time-independent, then

$$\widehat{\mathcal{B}}V^N g = \frac{t^N}{N!}\dot{\mathcal{B}}V^N g. \tag{5.18}$$

Consider the iteration scheme

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= u_0 + \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}}V(\tau)u_0\,d\tau = u_0 + \widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)u_0, \\ U_2 &= u_0 + \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}}V(\tau)U_1(\tau)\,d\tau, \\ &= u_0 + \widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)U_1 = u_0 + \widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)u_0 + \widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)^2 u_0, \end{aligned}$$

and generally, for any $N \ge 1$,

$$U_N = u_0 + \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}}V(\tau)U_{N-1}(\tau)\,d\tau = \sum_{k=0}^{N}\widehat{\mathcal{B}}V(t)^k u_0. \tag{5.19}$$

Fix $0 < T < \infty$. We prove the existence of solution for (1.1) on any $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T)$, where $0 < T < \infty$. For (x,t) in this set, we have

$$|V| \le V_0 := \Lambda_0 |x|^\sigma + 1, \quad \Lambda_0 = \max\{\Lambda(t) : 0 \le t \le T\}. \tag{5.20}$$

All the calculations below will be taken at $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T)$. We estimate

$$|\widehat{\mathcal{B}} V g| \le \dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0 |g| \int_0^t d\tau = t \dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0 |g|,$$
$$|\widehat{\mathcal{B}} V^N g| \le \frac{t^N}{N!} \dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0^N |g|.$$

Thus we have

$$|U_N| \le \sum_{k=0}^{N} \frac{t^k}{k!} \dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0^k |u_0| \to e^{t\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0} |u_0| \quad \text{as } N \to \infty. \tag{5.21}$$

So we find that the sequence $\{U_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ converges to a continuous function, and in fact it converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T)$. The convergence and (5.19) implies that the continuous function

$$\mu := \lim_{N \to \infty} U_N \tag{5.22}$$

is a mild solution of (1.1) in the sense of (2.3). By the estimate (5.21) we have

$$|\mu(x,t)| \le e^{t\dot{\mathcal{B}} V_0} |u_0|. \tag{5.23}$$

This implies in particular that $u = 0$ whenever $u_0 = 0$. The uniqueness of solutions in the space $C([0,T); \mathcal{E})$ follows from the comparison principle below. In the case that $a(x,t) \ge 0$, the nonnegativity of u whenever $u_0 \ge 0$ is obvious. $\square$

Definition 3 (Time-dependent potentials). Assume (H2). The *Green operator* for the equation (1.1) is defined to be

$$\mathcal{G}_a(t)\varphi = e^{\int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}}(\triangle + a(\cdot,\tau))\, d\tau} \varphi := e^{-t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(a(\cdot,t)+1)^k \varphi \quad (\varphi \in \mathcal{E}). \tag{5.24}$$

We also have the comparison principle for the case of positive time-dependent potentials.

Theorem 8 (Comparison principle II). *Let $a \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T))$ be such that*

$$0 \le a(x,t) \le \Lambda(t)|x|^\sigma \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T), \tag{5.25}$$

where $\Lambda \in B_{loc}([0,T))$ and $\sigma \in [0,1)$. Assume $u_0, v_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ and $u, v \in C([0,\infty); \mathcal{E})$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} u(t) \le u_0 + \displaystyle\int_0^t \mathcal{B}((\triangle + a(\cdot,\tau))u(\tau))\, d\tau, \\ v(t) \ge v_0 + \displaystyle\int_0^t \mathcal{B}((\triangle + a(\cdot,\tau))v(\tau))\, d\tau \end{cases} \tag{5.26}$$

for all $t > 0$. We have

$$u_0 \le v_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u \le v. \tag{5.27}$$

Proof. The proof has the same idea as Theorem 6. Let $V = a(x,t) + 1$ and $\mu = e^t u, \nu = e^t v$ which satisfy

$$\mu \le u_0 + \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}} V(\tau)\mu(\tau)\, d\tau, \quad \nu \ge v_0 + \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}} V(\tau)\nu(\tau)\, d\tau.$$

Define the function w as before:

$$w(x,t) = \max_{0\le\tau\le t} (\mu - \nu)_+ \ge 0. \tag{5.28}$$

Then $w(x,t)$ is increasing in t. As in the proof of Theorem 6, we get a Gronwall type inequality

$$w(t) \le \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}} V(\tau) w(\tau)\, d\tau. \tag{5.29}$$

Consider the estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) &\le \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}} V(\tau) w(t)\, d\tau = \widehat{\mathcal{B}} V(t) w(t), \\ w(t) &\le \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}} V(\tau)(\widehat{\mathcal{B}} V(\tau) w(\tau))\, d\tau \le \widehat{\mathcal{B}} V(t)^2 w(t), \end{aligned}$$

and generally we obtain

$$w(t) \le \widehat{\mathcal{B}} V(t)^N w(t) \quad \forall\, N \ge 1. \tag{5.30}$$

By the convergence of series (5.19) and (5.21) we can conclude that $w(x,t) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0$. Therefore we obtain $u \le v$ as desired. □

Appendix

We consider the equation (1.2). Assume that $\mathbf{b}$ is C^1 in x. Then we rewrite this equation in the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \triangle \partial_t u = \triangle u + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{b}(x,t)u) + c(x,t)u & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$c(x,t) := a(x,t) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}(x,t). \tag{6.2}$$

Then we derive the non-local version of this equation by taking $(1 - \triangle)^{-1}$, setting $\mu = e^t u$, then applying the integration by parts to get

$$\partial_t \mu = \dot{\mathcal{B}} W \mu + \dot{\mathcal{D}} \mathbf{b} \mu, \tag{6.3}$$

where $W = 1 + c(x,t)$ and $\dot{\mathcal{D}}\mathbf{b}$ is the convolution multiplication operator given by

$$\dot{\mathcal{D}}\mathbf{b}\varphi := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_x B(x-y) \cdot \mathbf{b}(y,t)\varphi(y)\, dy. \tag{6.4}$$

Lemma 8. *The kernel function ∇B satisfies the the condition*

$$|\nabla B(x)| \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad |\nabla B(x)| \lesssim e^{-|x|} \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty, \tag{6.5}$$

which is precisely (4.4).

Proof. Let $r = |x|$. By the results in [4], we have

$$\frac{d}{dr}B(r) = c_n r^{1-\frac{n}{2}} K_{\frac{n}{2}}(r)$$

and the asymptotic formulas

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\frac{n}{2}}(r) &\sim r^{-\frac{n}{2}} \quad \text{as } r \to 0, \\ K_{\frac{n}{2}}(r) &\sim r^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-r} \quad \text{as } r \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain $|B'| \in L^1_{loc}$ and $|B'| \lesssim e^{-r}$ as $r \to \infty$ which implies the statement of this lemma. □

Theorem 9. *Assume that the potential and the coefficient* $\mathbf{b}$ *satisfy*

$$\max\{|a(x,t)|, |\mathbf{b}|(x,t), |\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}|(x,t)\} \le \Lambda(t)|x|^\sigma, \tag{6.6}$$

where $\Lambda \in B_{loc}([0,\infty))$ *and* $\sigma \in [0,1)$. *Then for each* $u_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ *the problem (1.2) admits a unique global solution.*

Proof. We introduce the operator

$$\mathcal{M}(t)\varphi(t) = \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{B}}W(\cdot,\tau)\varphi(\tau)\,d\tau + \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{D}}\mathbf{b}(\cdot,\tau)\varphi(\tau)\,d\tau$$

and its iterations

$$\mathcal{M}(t)^N\varphi(t) := \mathcal{M}(t)^{N-1}(\mathcal{M}(t)\varphi(t)) \quad (N \ge 2).$$

So the solution μ of (6.3) satisfies

$$\mu(t) = u_0 + \mathcal{M}(t)\mu(t) \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

We introduce the iteration

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(t) &= u_0 + \mathcal{M}(t)u_0, \\ U_2(t) &= u_0 + \mathcal{M}(t)U_1(t), \\ &= u_0 + \mathcal{M}(t)u_0 + \mathcal{M}(t)^2 u_0, \\ U_N(t) &= u_0 + \mathcal{M}(t)U_{N-1} \quad (N \ge 2), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$U_N(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathcal{M}(t)^k u_0.$$

The operator $\mathcal{M}(t)$ has the following estimate

$$|\mathcal{M}(t)\varphi(t)| \le \int_0^t \dot{\mathcal{H}}V(\cdot,\tau)|\varphi(\tau)|\,d\tau = \widehat{\mathcal{H}}V(\cdot,t)|\varphi|(t),$$

where $\mathcal{H}$ is the convolution operator with kernel function

$$H(x) := B(x) + |\nabla B(x)|,$$

and

$$V := W + |\mathbf{b}|.$$

This implies

$$|\mathcal{M}(t)^N\varphi(t)| \le \widehat{\mathcal{H}}V(\cdot,t)^N|\varphi|(t) \quad \text{for all } N \ge 1.$$

Applying Lemma 8 and the fact that $V \lesssim \Lambda(t)|x|^\sigma$, we can perform as in the proof of Theorem 3 to conclude the pointwise convergence (uniformly on compact subsets) of

$$\mu := \lim_{N\to\infty} U_N.$$

It then follows by the dominated convergence theorem that μ is a desired solution. For the uniqueness, one can perform a similar estimate to show that $\mu = 0$ is the only solution in the case $u_0 = 0$. $\square$

References

[1] A.B. Al'shin, M.O. Korpusov, and A.G. Sveshnikov, Blow-up in Nonlinear Sobolev Type Equations, Nonlin. Anal. and App., Walter de Gruyter, 2011.

[2] D.G. Aronson, P. Besala, Uniqueness of solutions to the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 13 (1966) 516–526.

[3] D. G. Aronson, P. Besala, Parabolic equations with unbounded coefficients, J. Differential Equations 3 (1967) 1-14.

[4] N. Aronszajn, K.T. Smith, Theory of Bessel potentials. I, Annales de l'institute Fourier, tome 11 (1961), 385–475.

[5] G.I. Barenblatt, M. Bertsch, R. Dal Passo, M. Ughi, A degenerate pseudoparabolic regularization of a nonlinear forward–backward heat equation arising in the theory of heat and mass exchange in stably stratified turbulent shear flow. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 24 (1993) 1414–1439 .

[6] H. Brezis, X. Cabré, Some Simple Nonlinear PDEs Without Solutions, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 1-B (1998), 223–262.

[7] X. Cabré, Y. Martel, Existence versus explosion instantanée pour des équations de la chaleur lineaires avec potentiel singulier, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 329 (1999), 973–978.

[8] C. Cosner, W. Rundell, Uniqueness classes for pseudoparabolic equations with unbounded coefficients, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 8:1 (1983) 1–20.

[9] T. Deck, S. Kruse, Parabolic differential equations with unbounded coefficients–a generalization of the parametrix method, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae 74 (1) (2002) 71–91.

[10] S.D. Eidelman, N.V. Zhitarashu, Parabolic Boundary Value Problems, Springer Basel AG, 1998.

[11] C.M. Elliott, A.M. Stuart, Viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation II. Analysis, J. Differential Equations 128 (1996) 387–414.

[12] A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Types, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1964.

[13] D. Henry, Geometric theory of semilinear parabolic equations, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 840, Springer, New York, 1981.

[14] S. Khomrutai, Global and blow-up solutions of superlinear pseudoparabolic equations with unbounded coefficient, Nonlinear Anal. 122 (2015) 192–214.

[15] S. Khomrutai, Global well-posedness and grow-up rate of solutions for a sublinear pseudoparabolic equation, J. Differential Equations 260 (2016) 3598–3657.

[16] N. V. Krylov, E. Priola, Elliptic and parabolic second-order PDEs with growing coefficients, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 35:1 (2009) 1–22.

[17] E. Mitidieri and S.I. Pohozaev, A priori estimates and the absence of solutions of nonlinear partial dierential equations and inequalities, Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 234 (2001), 1–362.

[18] M. Murata, Non-uniqueness of the Positive Cauchy Problem for Parabolic Equations, J. Differential Equations 123 (1995) 343–387.

[19] S.M. Nikolsskii, Approximation of Functions of Several Variables and Imbedding Theorems, Springer-Verlag, 1975.

[20] A. Novick-Cohen, R.L. Pego, Stable patterns in a viscous diffusion equation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 324 (1991) 331–351.

[21] S.I. Pohozaev, A. Tesei, Instantaneous blow-up of solutions to a class of hyperbolic inequalities, Electr. J. Differ. Equat. 08 (2002), 155-165.